

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,349	02/12/2001	Eran Reuveni	S-159-0 US	7816	
23366	7590 10/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORTON CHIRNOMAS & ASSOC.			JEANTY, ROMAIN		
11355 W. OI SUITE 100	LYMPIC BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LES, CA 90064		3623		
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A						
Office Action Commons		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/782,349	REUVENI, ERAN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Romain Jeanty	3623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status		•						
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	12 February 2001.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔄 Informatio	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE (s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the filing of this application on February 12, 2001.
 Claims 1-17 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Notani et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,567,783).

As per claims 1-5, 7-17, Notani discloses:

receiving a user resources on a user multi-platform computer system, monitoring the workflow, automatically analyzing the processing of said resources to gather information, measure the results and respond to the workflow, and outputting decision-support data (col. 11, line 66 through col. 12 line 55.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

à

Application/Control Number: 09/782,349

Art Unit: 3623

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 6, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Notani et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,567,783).

As per claims 6 and 11, Notani does not explicitly disclose wherein the said gathering of information, measuring of the results and responding to the workflow permits the implementation of analysis add-on packages and interfaces. However the idea of permitting implementation of analysis add-on packages is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an analysis add-on packages in the disclosures of Notani with the motivation to better manage information in the workflow.

Applicant is referred to col. 6, lines 19-25 of Zinda (U.S. Patent No. 6,393,437) for this well known teaching.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (703) 308-9585. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Romain Jeanty

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3623

October 1, 2004